1	wo		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
8			
9	United States of America,	NO. 19-60902MJ-001	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
11	V.		
12	Joaquin Hernandez-Hernandez,		
13	Defendant.		
14			
15	In accordance with the Ban Reform Act, 18 0.5.C. § 5142(1), a detention hearing has		
16	been held. Defendant was present and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and order the		
17	detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.		
18	FINDINGS OF FACT		
19	T C 11 1 C/1 11 /1 /		
20	I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:		
21	· 1		
22	residence. In the defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
23	☐ The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of		
24	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a		
25	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
26	,		
27	 ☐ The defendant lives/works in Mexico. ☐ The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the 		
28	United States and has substantial family to		

1	☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
2	☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law	
3	enforcement. □ The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.	
4	years imprisonment.	
5	The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.	
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
7	1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.	
89	2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
10	DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
11 12 13 14 15 16	attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in	
17	APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
18	IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the	
19	District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before	
20	the District Court.	
21	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it	
22	is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearin before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview an investigate the potential third party custodian.	
23		
24		
25	DATE: 4/22/2019	
26	Honorable James F. Metcalf	
27	United States Magistrate Judge	

28